

# Grothendieck - Riemann - Roch

Note Title

6/21/2009

Ref: Le théorème de Riemann-Roch. A. Borel and J.P. Serre. Bulletin de la S.M.F.

## §1. K-Groups On Algebraic Varieties

Let  $X$  be a projective variety over an algebraically closed field.

Def.  $K(X) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{F}] / \sim$ , where  $[\mathcal{F}]$  denotes the isomorphism classes of coherent sheaves on  $X$ , and  $\sim$  is generated by  $[\mathcal{F}] - [\mathcal{F}'] + [\mathcal{F}'']$  whenever

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

Def.  $K_i(X) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{E}] / \sim$ , where  $[\mathcal{E}]$  denotes the isomorphism classes of locally free sheaves on  $X$ , and  $\sim$  is generated by  $[\mathcal{E}] - [\mathcal{E}'] + [\mathcal{E}'']$  whenever

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'' \rightarrow 0$$

**Thm 1.** The canonical map  $\varepsilon: K_i(X) \rightarrow K(X)$  is an isomorphism.

Idea: Any  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$  can be resolved by locally frees of length  $\leq \dim X$ .

$$0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

Then define an inverse  $\gamma_i$  of  $\varepsilon$  by  $\gamma_i([\mathcal{F}]) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [L_i]$ . It can be checked that  $\gamma_i$  is well-defined.

### Operations On $K(X)$

(1). Ring structure on  $K(X)$ :  $\mathcal{F}, G \in \text{Coh}(X)$ . Define

$$[\mathcal{F}], [G] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [\text{Tor}_{i+1}^{0*}(\mathcal{F}, G)]$$

Then it's additive in both  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $G$  and thus define a product structure

$$K(X) \otimes K(X) \rightarrow K(X).$$

Equivalently in  $K_i(X)$ , we may just take locally free resolutions of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $G$ , and then form the tensor complex, and take the Euler characteristic.

By constructing the "associative Tor-functor"  $\text{Tor}(\mathcal{F}, G, H)$  and using the spectral sequences

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p(\mathcal{F}, \text{Tor}_q(G, H)) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}(F, G, H) \leftarrow \tilde{E}_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p(\text{Tor}_q(\mathcal{F}, G), H)$$

and the fact that Euler characteristic is constant within a spectral sequence

we have:

- The product on  $K(X)$  is associative and commutative.  
(In  $K_1(X)$  the associativity is obvious; commutativity is obvious in both cases).

## (2). $\lambda$ -operations

Given a s.e.s. of locally free sheaves on  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'' \rightarrow 0$$

we have a filtration

so that in  $K_1(X)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^p(\mathcal{E}) &= \sum_{r+s=p} \lambda^r(\mathcal{E}') \lambda^s(\mathcal{E}'') \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sum \lambda^p(\mathcal{E}) t^p &= (\sum \lambda^r(\mathcal{E}') t^r) (\sum \lambda^s(\mathcal{E}'') t^s) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \mapsto \sum \lambda^p(\mathcal{E}) t^p &\text{ is an additive map from } K_1(X) \rightarrow K_1(X)[t], \text{ with image} \\ &\text{in the multiplicative subgroup } \{1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots | a_i \in K_1(X)\}. \text{ This in turn induces} \\ &\bullet \Lambda : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)[t] \end{aligned}$$

## (3). $f^!$ and $f_!$

Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be any morphism (not necessarily proper).  $\forall \mathcal{E}$  locally free on  $X$ ,  $f^*(\mathcal{E})$  is locally free on  $Y$ . This is an additive function, and thus induces

$$\bullet f^!: K(X) \cong K_1(X) \rightarrow K_1(Y) \cong K(Y)$$

We may also define this directly on  $K(X)$  via the Tor-formula: given  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$ ,

$$f^!(\mathcal{F}) \triangleq \sum_i (-1)^i [\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F})]$$

which is additive on  $\text{Coh}(X)$ .

Next suppose  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is proper. A fundamental result of Grothendieck states that :

$$\bullet \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(Y) \Rightarrow R^q f_!(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Coh}(X)$$

Thus we may define:

$$f_!(\mathcal{F}) \triangleq \sum_i (-1)^i [R^q f_!(\mathcal{F})]$$

which is an additive functor on  $\text{Coh}(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$ .

$f^!$  is not a ring homomorphism, but we have the projection formula:

- $f_!(y \cdot f^!(x)) = f_!(y) \cdot x$

where  $y \in K(Y)$ ,  $x \in K(X)$ . This follows from the projection formula for  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(Y)$ ,  $E$  locally free on  $X$ :

$$R^q f_! (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f^* E) \cong R^q f_! (\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E$$

By definition, if  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ , then

- $(f \circ g)^! = g^! \cdot f^!$ .

If both  $f$  and  $g$  are proper, then

- $(f \circ g)^! = f_! \cdot g_!$ .

This follows from the spectral sequence:  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(Z)$ :

$$R^p f_! (R^q g_! (\mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow R^{p+q} f_! g_! (\mathcal{F}).$$

## Chern Classes

Let  $A(X)$  be the Chow ring of  $X$ . (Or we may use  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$  if  $X/\mathbb{C}$ ). Chern classes can be extended to  $K(X) \cong K_0(X)$  since the Chern polynomial

$$C(E)_t = 1 + C_1(E)t + C_2(E)t^2 + \dots$$

is an additive function. Given  $x \in K(X)$ , let its Chern polynomial be  $\prod_i (1 + a_i t)$  (bearing in mind the splitting principle).

Def. (Todd Class).  $Td(x) \triangleq \prod_i \frac{a_i}{1 - e^{-a_i}} \in A(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .

Def. (Chern Character)  $ch(x) \triangleq \text{rank}(x) + \sum (e^{a_i} - 1) \in A(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .

(Note that rank is well-defined on  $K_0(X) \cong K(X)$ .)

We have, for  $x, y \in K(X)$ :

- $Td(x+y) = Td(x) \cdot Td(y)$
- $ch(x+y) = ch(x) + ch(y)$ ;  $ch(xy) = ch(x) \cdot ch(y)$

## §2. The Theorem

Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper morphism between non-singular quasi-projective varieties. Denote  $T(X) \in A(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the Todd class of the tangent bundle of  $X$ .

**Thm 2 (Grothendieck - Riemann - Roch)**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & A(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow f_! & & \downarrow f^* \\ K(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & A(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

The lack of commutativity is measured by the formula

$$f^*(\text{ch}(Y) \cdot Td(Y)) = \text{ch}(f_!(Y)) \cdot Td(X)$$

$X = \text{Spec } k$ . Then  $A(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cong 1/1$ , and taking ch over  $X$  is just counting the dim over  $k$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(Y)$ , then  $f_!(\mathcal{F}) = \sum (-1)^i [H^i(Y, \mathcal{F})]$ . Thus

**Cor. (Hirzebruch - Riemann - Roch)**

$$\sum (-1)^i h^i(Y, \mathcal{F}) = \int_Y \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot Td(Y)$$

Special cases

(1).  $Y = \mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $X = \text{pt}$ .  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(n)$ .

In this case, let  $x = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Then we have  $C_t(Y) = (1+tx)^{r+1}$ , and thus  $T(\mathbb{P}^r) = \frac{x^{r+1}}{(1-e^{-x})^{r+1}}$ ,  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}(n)) = e^{nx}$ . Hence R-R in this case reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+r}{r} &= \chi(\mathcal{O}(n)) \neq \deg r \text{ term in } \frac{e^{nx} \cdot x^{r+1}}{(1-e^{-x})^{r+1}} \\ &= \deg (-1) \text{ term in } \frac{e^{nx}}{(1-e^{-x})^{r+1}} \\ &= \text{Res} \left( \frac{e^{nx}}{(1-e^{-x})^{r+1}} dx \right) \\ &= \text{Res} \frac{dy}{(1-y)^{r+1} y^{r+1}} \quad (y = 1 - e^{-x}) \\ &= \deg r \text{ term in } (1-y)^{-(r+1)} \\ &= (-1)^r \binom{-n-1}{r} \\ &= \binom{n+r}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

(2).  $Y = \text{Curve } X = \text{Spec} k, y = \gamma(\mathcal{O}_Y(D))$  with  $D$  a divisor. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(D) - h^1(D) &= (\text{Ch}(\mathcal{O}_Y(D)) \cdot \text{Td}(Y)), \\ &= ((1+D) \cdot \frac{e^{-K}}{1-e^K}), \\ &= (1+D)(1-\frac{K}{2}) \\ &= \deg(D) - \frac{1}{2}\deg K \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $D=0$ ,  $g=h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ , we see that  $\deg K=2g-2$ , and thus

$$h^0(D) - h^1(D) = \deg D - (g-1)$$

(3).  $Y = \text{Surface } X = \text{Spec} k, y = \gamma(\mathcal{O}_Y(D))$ , with  $D$  a divisor

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(D) - h^1(D) + h^2(D) &= [(1+D+\frac{D^2}{2})(1+\frac{1}{2}C_1(Y) + \frac{1}{12}(C_1^2+C_2))]_2 (C_i=C_i(TY)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}D \cdot (D+C_1(Y)) + \frac{1}{12}(C_1^2+C_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}D \cdot (D-K) + \frac{1}{12}(K^2+C_2) \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $D=0$ ,  $P_a = \frac{1}{12}(K^2+C_2)$ , which is Noether's formula.

### §. Proof of Theorem

We reduce the proof to two special cases: (1). projection. (2). injection.

First reductions.

Lemma 1. Let  $z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  be proper morphisms. Let  $z \in K(z)$

(1). If GRR is true for  $(g, z)$  and  $(f, g_!(z))$ , then it's true for  $(fg, z)$ .

(2). If GRR is true for  $(fg, z)$  and  $(f, g_!(z))$ ,  $f_* : A(Y) \rightarrow A(X)$  is injective, then it's true for  $(g, z)$ .

Pf: (1). By assumption, we have

$$g_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) = ch(g_!(z)) \cdot Td(Y) \quad (1)$$

$$f_*(ch(g_!(z)) \cdot Td(Y)) = ch(f_!(g_!(z))) \cdot Td(X) \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (fg)_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) &= f_* \circ g_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) \\ &= f_*(ch(g_!(z)) \cdot Td(Y)) \\ &= ch(f_!(g_!(z))) \cdot Td(X) \\ &= ch((fg)_!(z)) \cdot Td(X) \end{aligned}$$

(2). By assumption

$$(fg)_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) = (ch(fg)_!(z)) \cdot Td(X)$$

||                          ||

$$f_* \circ g_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) = ch(f_!(g_!(z))) \cdot Td(X)$$

and

$$f_*(ch(g_!(z)) \cdot Td(Y)) = ch(f_!(g_!(z))) \cdot Td(X)$$

$\Rightarrow f_* \circ g_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) = f_*(ch(g_!(z)) \cdot Td(Y))$ . Since  $f_*$  is injective, we have

$$g_*(ch(z) \cdot Td(z)) = ch(g_!(z)) \cdot Td(Y) \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $f': Y' \rightarrow X'$  be proper morphisms,  $y \in K(Y)$ ,  $y' \in K(Y')$

If GRR is true for  $(f, y)$ ,  $(f', y')$ , then it's true for  $(fxf', y \otimes y')$ .

Pf: We shall use the following Künneth type formula:

$$(fxf')_! (y \otimes y') = f_!(y) \otimes f'_!(y')$$

$$(fxf')_* (z \otimes z') = f_*(z) \otimes f'_*(z') \quad \forall z \in A(Y), z' \in A(Y')$$

Furthermore, for Chern characters, we have

$$\text{ch}(y \otimes y') = \text{ch}(y) \otimes \text{ch}(y')$$

□

Now given  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  proper morphism between projective varieties, we can factor it as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xhookrightarrow{i} & X \times \mathbb{P}^r \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow p \\ & & X \end{array}$$

where  $i$  is a closed immersion and  $p$  is the projection. By lemma 1 (a), we are reduced to show for the following two cases:

- (i).  $Y = X \times \mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow X$  is the projection
- (ii).  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion.

Projection Case.

By lemma 2, we are further reduced to the case  $\mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow \text{pt}$  if we have  $K(X) \otimes K(\mathbb{P}^r) \rightarrow K(X \times \mathbb{P}^r)$  is surjective (GRR is trivially true for  $X \rightarrow X$ ), which we have dealt with as an example before. We list some basic  $K$  theory properties:

- (1).  $X' \subset X$  closed subscheme,  $U = X \setminus X'$ . Then

$$K(X') \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow K(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

- (2).  $p: X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ . Then  $p^*: K(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ . Inductively  $K(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(X \times \mathbb{A}^r)$ .

Lemma 3.  $X$ : projective variety.  $K(X) \otimes K(\mathbb{P}^r) \rightarrow K(X \times \mathbb{P}^r)$  is surjective.

Pf: By induction on  $r$ .  $r=0$  trivial. By (1), we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K(X \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}) & \rightarrow & K(X \times \mathbb{P}^r) & \rightarrow & K(X \times \mathbb{A}^r) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \text{(by induction)} & & \uparrow \varepsilon & & \uparrow \cong \text{(by (2))} & & \\ K(X) \otimes K(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}) & \rightarrow & K(X) \otimes K(\mathbb{P}^r) & \rightarrow & K(X) \otimes K(\mathbb{A}^r) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \varepsilon$  is surjective by diagram chasing

□

Cor. GRR is true for the projection  $X \times \mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow X$ . □

A Special Case of Injection.

In case  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  is a divisor, any  $y \in K(Y)$  is of the form  $y = i^!(x)$ ,  $x \in K(X)$ , we can check GRR as follows.

For an injection, we have  $0 \rightarrow I_Y/I_Y^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y} \rightarrow \Omega_Y \rightarrow 0$ . Dualizing gives  $0 \rightarrow T_Y \rightarrow T_{X/Y} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  where  $E$  is the normal bundle. Then

$$i_*(ch(y) \cdot Td(Y)) \neq ch(i_!(y)) \cdot Td(X)$$

$$L.H.S. = i_*(ch(y) \cdot Td(E)^{-1} \cdot Td(T_{X/Y})) = i_*(ch(y) \cdot Td(E)^{-1} \cdot i^*Td(X)) = i_*(ch(y) \cdot Td(E)^{-1}) \cdot Td(X)$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$ch(i_!(y)) = i_*(ch(y) \cdot Td(E)^{-1})$$

In the special case where  $Y$  is a smooth divisor, by adjunction formula,  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ .

Let  $y = i^!(x)$ . We have:

$$l.h.s = ch(i_!i^!(x)) = ch(x \cdot i_!(1)) = ch(x) \cdot ch(i_!([O_Y]))$$

$$\text{But we have } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(-Y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow i_!([O_Y]) = [O_X] - [O_{X(-Y)}].$$

and thus

$$l.h.s = ch(x) \cdot (1 - e^{-Y})$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} r.h.s &= i_*(ch(i^!(x)) \cdot Td(O_{Y(Y)})) = i_*(i^*ch(x) \cdot Td(i^*[O_{Y(Y)}])) = i_*(i^*(ch(x) \cdot Td(O_{Y(Y)}))) \\ &= ch(x) \cdot Td(O_{Y(Y)})^{-1} \cdot i_!(1) \\ &= ch(x) \frac{1-e^{-Y}}{Y} \cdot Y \\ &= ch(x) \cdot (1 - e^{-Y}) \\ &= l.h.s. \end{aligned}$$

Cor. GRR is true for  $Y \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $a \mapsto (a, p_0)$ , where  $p_0$  is a fixed point of  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

Pf: Since this morphism is the composition of  $id_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $p_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , by lemma 2, it suffices to check for the latter case. Let  $Y = pt$ ,  $K(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and it suffices to show for  $1 \in K(Y)$ . But  $1 \in i^!(K(\mathbb{P}^r))$ . Thus if  $r = 1$ , the result follows from the divisorial case above. Now we induct on  $r$ .

Let  $H$  be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  containing  $p_0$ ,  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$

$$i: \{p_0\} \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$$

By induction hypothesis GRR is true for  $(u, 1)$ . Since  $v$  is the inclusion of a divisor, if  $u_{!}(1) \in v^!(K(\mathbb{P}^r))$ , GRR will be true for  $(u, u_{!}(1))$  by the divisorial case. It then follows from lemma 1 (1) that GRR is true for  $(i, 1)$ .

Now in w.l.o.g. assume  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1} = \{X_r = 0\}$ , we have a Koszul complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-r+1) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_H(-r+2) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_H(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p_0} \longrightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow u_{!}(1) = \sum (-1)^i$  [invertible sheaves on  $H$ ]. But any invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_H(n)$  comes from  $v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)$ . The result follows. □

Cor. To prove GRR in general, it suffices to show for  $Y \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{codim } Y >> \dim Y$  (we just need  $\text{codim } Y \geq \dim Y + 2$ ).

Pf. Take the composition  $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^N$  for  $N >> 0$ . By assumption GRR is true for the composition and  $X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^N$ . Moreover  $i_*: A(X) \rightarrow A(X \times \mathbb{P}^N)$  is injective. By lemma 1 (b), GRR is true for  $Y \rightarrow X$ . □

Rmk: The general case of injection will follow from the divisorial case by blowing up  $X$  along  $Y$ , so that the strict transform of  $Y$  is a divisor. By the cor above, we only need to consider  $Y$  of large enough codimension, which is needed for technical reasons.